

# OpenFlow/SDN for IaaS Providers

Open Networking Summit 2011  
Stanford University

Paul Lappas & Ivan Batanov

# The Public Cloud

## Our Definition

- Shared infrastructure operated by a service provider where no single client uses a significant percentage of the available capacity.
- Provides many infrastructure services: compute, storage, etc
- Metered billing and elastic consumption

## Used by

- SMBs for test/dev and production workloads
- Few enterprise users today
- Very little integration with on-premise networks
  - Some VPN stuff happening – ie Azure VPN and Amazon VPN

# Public Cloud Networks Need

## Infrastructure

Large-scale Datacenters  
~10k hosts, ~1M VMs

API provisioning + high rate of  
change

Add new capacity with minimal  
operation overhead

Mix of “soft” and “hard” devices

## Connectivity

Any-to-any connectivity between  
arbitrary VMs

L2 isolation between very large  
number of domains

Identity-based routing instead of  
IP-based routing

“Fair” or guaranteed resource  
distribution

High cross-sectional bandwidth

Cost-effective

# Public Cloud Networks Need

## Business

80% utilization of resources

Grow on a 'just in time' basis

Minimal customizations with broad market reach

## Product

Unlimited 'burst' capacity

Unlimited number and size of L2 domains

VM mobility

QoS

Price competitive

# Limitations of traditional networks

- Distributed model is broken
  - Complexity of distributed configuration increases exponentially
  - Current/Legacy protocols have inherent protocol limitations
  - There are limitations in # of routers in OSPF, number of cascaded switches, etc
  - As # of nodes increase, so do convergence times
- L2 “pods” are not the solution – need some form of L3 aggregation, but
  - Hardware does not scale well
  - Lack of programmatic access
  - Multi-vendor/platform integration is difficult/impossible
  - Vendor solutions are expensive/proprietary and incomplete

# Business needs are not being met

- You end up segmenting your capacity into “pods”
  - And maintaining spare capacity per pod is expensive
- Maintaining one L2 domain per customer is difficult
- Migration of VMs is expensive, sometimes impossible
- Adding more capacity around the DC requires significant planning and we usually get it wrong
  
- Customers run out of capacity their ‘pod’
- There is really no way to enforce QoS over the entire network

# The Paradigm Shift

Then

Incremental Build  
Plug & Play +  
Discovery  
Manual Setup and  
Configuration  
Scale in 100s  
Natural hierarchy  
Simple topologies  
Committee-driven  
innovation

Now

Pre-build in  
“pods” (containers)  
Pre-defined topology  
  
Driven by API  
  
Scale in 10,000s  
Any-to-any  
Complex topologies  
Market-driven  
innovation

# With OpenFlow / SDN

## Management and orchestration

Centralized API to OF controller replaces the CLI of multiple devices

Implementation of transactional semantics is trivial

## Protocol Limitations

Allows innovative protocols like L2-over-L3 tunneling at scale

Provides centralized control plane

## Vendor-independent abstraction

Hardware vendors

Software implementations like OVS

## Scalability

Scaling OF controller is solvable problem

Reduces complexity of network devices



# Key capabilities enabled by SDN

- “Network infrastructure as code”
  - Configuration version control and automatic provisioning
  - Networks portable across public/private clouds
- Disaster Recovery
  - Quickly provision networks without manual configuration
  - VM migration across WANs (private->public, public->public)
- Customers can use arbitrary IP space
- Let customer’s manage their own VLANs, subnets
- End-to-end QoS

# Other Technologies to Watch

- Microsoft VL2
- ConteXtream
- Juniper Qfabric

# Contact

**Paul Lappas**

<http://www.linkedin.com/in/paullappas>  
paullappas@gmail.com

**Ivan Batanov**

<http://www.linkedin.com/in/ivanb>  
ivan@ivanb.net