

Directory and Active Directory Overview

Daniel Blum

Senior Vice President, Research Director

Dblum@tbg.com

September 12, 2001

THE BURTON GROUP

Driving Network Evolution www.tbg.com

Directory Overview

Words to live by

"In theory there is no difference between theory and practice. In practice there is."

Yogi Berra

Directory Overview

In theory, Active Directory will . . .

- Relieve the headaches associated with managing NT Server domains, reducing TCO
 - A more scalable naming context that eliminates the complexity of point-to-point trust relationships
 - Delegated administration
 - Centralized systems management functions for operating systems and applications
 - Integrated security management model, including both Kerberos and public key infrastructure (PKI)
- Support Microsoft's e-business initiatives

Directory Overview

In practice, Active Directory will . . .

- Solve some existing problems, introduce new ones
 - AD is better than NT 4 domains and should make
 Windows 2000 clients and servers cheaper to own and manage over the long term
 - But getting there will be expensive and difficult for large organizations; requires intensive planning up front
 - Earlier marketing oversimplified deployment issues
 - Active Directory is a "1.0" product and isn't perfect
 - While clearly suited to the NOS administration role, AD isn't as well-suited for other directory roles, so it won't solve all of your directory problems

Active Directory's roles

- Win2K Server: Highly integrated, standards support, but monolithic due to focus on NOS market drivers
- In theory, AD can be THE enterprise and e-business directory
- In practice, AD is primarily a NOS admin directory
 - Reflects Microsoft's focus on improving Windows client and server management
 - Tightly integrated environment yields many benefits in the NOS environment
 - But tight integration makes AD inflexible in other roles
 - Limitations can make deployment, management a challenge in any role

The NOS role: Upsides

- Upside: Massive improvement over NT domains
 - LDAP, Kerberos, DNS, DHCP, delegated administration, policy-based resource management, separates user & machine identities, roaming...
- Downsides: Numerous gotchas
 - Single schema per forest, cannot remove items
 - Full-mesh replication within domains (no partitions)
 - Expensive to replicate global catalog, multivalued attributes
 - Weak change management tools for rename, merge
 - Hard to deploy 1 enterprise-wide forest w/few domains
 - No inheritance across domains in a forest
 - Management tools lack drag and drop GUI functions

Bottom line assessment

- Some, but not all AD gotchas will improve with Windows .NET server next year
 - Change management, Global Catalog, inter-forest trusts
- So, for most organizations, it's not a question of "if", but a question of "when and in what roles"
 - Microsoft's dominant position in the server OS and messaging markets ensures that some customers upgrade willingly, others because they have to
 - Most will use AD in the NOS role, but many will instead use best of breed directories in enterprise or e-business roles

Migration: What to watch out for

- Expect the expected (in ANY directory migration, not just AD)
 - Distributed nets w/low bandwidth links, branch offices
 - Must prevent bottom-up, poorly planned deployments
 - Delays, political problems with naming and tree design
- Deploying multiple forests is complex
 - 1 schema per forest, no inter-forest synchronization
 - Creates need for meta-directory services
- Enterprises must plan carefully or they will fail to realize many of Windows 2000 Server's benefits
- A poorly planned AD deployment will be no better than an NT Server domain deployment

Success is a function of planning

- Forest(s), domain(s), and tree design
 - Multiple forests are complex, but likely
 - Don't underestimate difficulty of naming/tree design
 - It's political, not technical, so get started early
- DNS and domain controller deployment
 - Physical topology requires careful balance of tradeoffs
 - Many want to deploy servers in data centers, but it's difficult for distributed orgs with low-bandwidth links
 - Login via global catalog complicates deployment across remote offices
 - Integration with existing DNS/DHCP can also be a complex undertaking

Success is a function of planning

- Migration planning
 - Modeling and piloting in advance
 - Choosing in-place upgrades vs. parallel deployments
 - Use of third-party tools highly recommended; will minimize issues like managing SID history, coexistence with other directories, others
- Active Directory is a work in progress
 - You'll need other third-party tools to augment functionality and manageability after migration
 - Migration
 - Post migration management/administration
 - Enterprise DNS Management

E-Business Infrastructure

Conclusion

- Its important to set realistic expectations, separate theory from practice
- Organizations must consider AD migration within the framework of an overall enterprise architecture
 - When (or if) AD makes sense in your environment
 - What roles Active Directory can and should play
- Active Directory promises value to large enterprises, but migration will be a large effort
- Organizations must conduct extensive planning and preparation efforts before making the leap